

Date: Mon, 23 May 94 09:37:58 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #561
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Mon, 23 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 561

Today's Topics:

 "for ID" (3 msgs)
 2 meter thru-glass
40 to 50 mile range listening -- Which band to use/build?
 610 Form in PostScript(r) (2 msgs)
 cycles, cycles/sec vs Hz (2 msgs)
 Ham Radio few problem
 HAM RADIO RUDENESS
 Need help with QSL address
 Need info on operating in Canada
 Need info on operatin in Thailand
 repeater slang/lingo.
 Speaker-Mike Connector
 W21AT mods

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 07:56:27 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: "for ID"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2ro5vg\$k89@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu> oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu (Derek
Wills) writes:

>gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) says:

>

>>if you're in a *large* roundtable, and 10 minutes has passed since

>>your last ID, you might insert your callsign "for ID" at a pause.
>>That tells everyone that you're not asking to break rotation, just
>>stay legal.
>
>Are you saying that even if you haven't transmitted a thing for
>10 minutes, you still have to identify? If I finish a QSO, give
>my callsign, the other guy says "bye" and I say "bye" (or "dit dit")
>without an identification, do I have to make a special broadcast
>within the next 10 minutes just to give my callsign? In the case
>cited above, why isn't it enough to identify the next time you actually
>make a transmission as part of the ongoing discussion?

The rules say you should ID every 10 minutes during a QSO. And it's a bad practice that impedes the natural flow of conversation to say your callsign at the end of *every* short transmission on a repeater. So it's often necessary to ID after being silent for a few minutes to stay legal. Since you haven't signed, you're still part of the QSO, and need to ID at 10 minute intervals. An often satisfactory alternative is for everyone to ID when the repeater does.

Gary

```
--
Gary Coffman KE4ZV          | You make it,      | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it.     | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way           | Guaranteed!      | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244   |                   |
```

```
-----
Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 13:01:41 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!spool.mu.edu!news.clark.edu!netnews.nwnet.net!
ns1.nodak.edu!dp1.lib.ndsu.NoDak.edu!gregg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: "for ID"
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
```

In article <2ro5vg\$k89@gerald.cc.utexas.edu> oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu (Derek Wills) writes:

>gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) says:

>>if you're in a *large* roundtable, and 10 minutes has passed since
>>your last ID, you might insert your callsign "for ID" at a pause.
>>That tells everyone that you're not asking to break rotation, just
>>stay legal.

>Are you saying that even if you haven't transmitted a thing for
>10 minutes, you still have to identify? If I finish a QSO, give
>my callsign, the other guy says "bye" and I say "bye" (or "dit dit")

>without an identification, do I have to make a special broadcast
>within the next 10 minutes just to give my callsign? In the case
>cited above, why isn't it enough to identify the next time you actually
>make a transmission as part of the ongoing discussion?

>Anyway, I hear this "for ID" thing at the start and end of monologues
>on HF phone sometimes, which doesn't seem appropriate.

****Stuff deleted****

"for ID" is one of my many pet peeves. Why the heck else would you say your
call? You ALWAYS give your call "for ID." It is just an annoying habit.

>And while I'm at it, what is the purpose of those CQ DX calls that
>say "beaming the Pacific" - not "CQ Pacific", but "CQ DX, beaming
>the Pacific"?

**** More stuff deleted****

The only possible use for such prattle is to make a longer call to give a
potential respondent time to get you tuned in. Mostly tho, someone heard
someone else say it, thought it sounded pretty good, so they say it too.

>It might make communications much easier if we just said what we
>meant all the time, which means proper phonetics and procedures.
>The cute stuff is OK when you know you are S9+, I suppose.

Hear hear!!! Here here, too. There are so many examples of silly things hams
say on HF and VHF/UHF that it is embarrassing to have a non-ham hear most of
it. Around here, "By golly" is a biggie, along with "and stuff" and "for id."

I tell newbies to talk just like they would on the phone or in person. When
you get home, say "I'm home now" and not "I'm at the home QTH." There isn't
any good reason to say "73" to someone when it is just as easy to say "Bye,"
but for cripes sake, don't say "73's."

Don't forget, teacups have handles, people have names.

>Derek Wills (AA5BT, G3NMX)
>Department of Astronomy, University of Texas,
>Austin TX 78712. (512-471-1392)
>oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu

Ummm, ahhhhh, let's see now, I forgot what I was going to say....

Oh yeah, if you are talking to a Japanese guy who's name is Hiro, should you

call him "HEE RO" or "HIDD OH" or Bob?

Joseph M. Gregg

Internet: Gregg@Plains.NoDak.edu

Packet: KN0A@W0ILO.#SEND.ND.USA.NOAM

LL: 701-588-4427

Date: 23 May 1994 10:34:05 -0400

From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!ns.mcs.kent.edu!

kira.cc.uakron.edu!malgudi.oar.net!news.ans.net!newstf01.cr1.aol.com!

search01.news.aol.com!not-for-mail@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu

Subject: "for ID"

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May22.204408.1@vax.sonoma.edu>,

harrisok@vax.sonoma.edu writes:

>>

So if one is in a big roundtable conversation, one should play it

safe by

identifying every transmission he/she makes?

<<

Yup. (If the roundtable is big enough) Now whether it =ought= to be
required that one ID at the end of a QSO is a different story... but
as it stands, that is the rule.

Jose KD1SB

Date: 23 May 94 09:11:34 -0600

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!

atlas.tntech.edu!jmg@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: 2 meter thru-glass

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

#1) how does a thru the glass 2 meter antenna actually work?

#2) what are its disadvantages?

thanks

Jeff, AC4HF

Date: 23 May 1994 12:56:49 GMT
From: newsgate.watson.ibm.com!watnews.watson.ibm.com!vinod@uunet.uu.net
Subject: 40 to 50 mile range listening -- Which band to use/build?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I will be getting a direct conversion receiver kit from ten-tec in couple of days. I want to use this radio to receive code practice sessions from W1AW - about 40 to 50 miles from where I live.

I can build this for either 40m or 80m. For the 40 to 50 mile range, which band will work better? I will be doing most of my listening in the evening, if that makes a difference. (Also, I could easily string out about 100 feet of wire for an antenna..)

Many thanks in advance!

--vinod
email: vinod@watson.ibm.com

Date: 23 May 94 00:29:59 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: 610 Form in PostScript(r)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Actually, wouldn't it be cheaper to just order up a bunch of forms from the government (I do when I need to)? And you would tend to avoid getting old forms stored away that way.

Xerox "buff" paper seems to be real close to the FCC paper color (goldenrod looks to be too "orange" to my eyes). Had to get some copies made in the early days of the forms, they've been used, so far no rejects. (helps to have a good copier that doesn't "see" the paper color that would add noise to the copies -- gotta love those 5100's).

There seems to be some variability in the paper color anyway based on what people bring with them to exams. Some are pretty yellow while others are paler.

Probably as long as it's close it will be OK. the normal response from the FCC would probably be a copy of one of their 610's with a letter saying

something like "use the Right Form this time Young Man!" and your form back.

bill wb9ivr
rockwell avionics/collins

Date: 23 May 94 15:27:25 GMT
From: unix.sri.com!headwall.Stanford.EDU!ee-news!bencze@hplabs.hpl.hp.com
Subject: 610 Form in PostScript(r)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>> On 23 May 94 00:29:59 GMT,
>> William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM said:

> There seems to be some variability in the paper color anyway based on what
> people bring with them to exams. Some are pretty yellow while others are
> paler.

> Probably as long as it's close it will be OK. the normal response from the
> FCC would probably be a copy of one of their 610's with a letter saying
> something like "use the Right Form this time Young Man!" and your form back.

I checked on this last week. I picked up a xeroxed copy of the new 610 form from my local HRO, which was copied onto a light beige paper. I sent this thing in 10 weeks or so ago, and have yet to get a reply. After reading the discussion here, I was worried that the FCC circularly filed the thing because the color was wrong. So, I checked with our friends in Gettysburg (717/337-1511 fyi) and a customer service representative told me that the exact color wasn't all too important [though, I guess white is still out], as long as all the information was there. She also said that their current turn around time is 14 weeks... argh!

The easiest thing to do, it seems, is to order some forms from the government (in lots of 1000?) or from the ARRL. This way, there will be no color conflicts.... Or have the FCC change to a paper color available at your local CopyMat, etc...

73, Bill KD6TOB

--
Bill Bencze bencze@isl.stanford.edu

Date: 23 May 94 00:40:13 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: cycles, cycles/sec vs Hz
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>This silly business of using mHz instead of MHz crept in, as far as my
>observations go, when PC speeds became such an advertizing issue. The
>computer industry today overwhelmingly specify their PC speeds as 33 mHz,

well it only makes sense that it's from the computer companies that perform
the public service of marking their computers with the warning that there is
"intel inside". (sorta up there with the ad from the company that said they
had the most powerful computer because their PCs had a 250 W (or something)
power supply and what counts in a computer is POWER right??? 8))

bill wb9ivr
rockwell avionics/collins

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 15:58:55 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
kludge@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: cycles, cycles/sec vs Hz
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>This silly business of using mHz instead of MHz crept in, as far as my
>observations go, when PC speeds became such an advertizing issue. The
>computer industry today overwhelmingly specify their PC speeds as 33 mHz,

Gee, 33 millihertz sure is a slow clock speed....
--scott
--

"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

Date: Mon, 23 May 94 08:53:29 CDT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Ham Radio few problem
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

On Sun, 22 May 1994 17:08:39 GMT,
Jeffrey Herman <jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu> wrote:

>In article <rogjdCq5nJ1.31A@netcom.com> rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)

writes:

>>.....

>>Over 90 percent of repeaters in Southern Cal are closed to virtually all
>>hams, and this IS unwholesome. Eventually we will do something about it,
>>however.

>

>How? A lawsuit? Internally?

>Is there a precedent for forcing someone to make public a private repeater?

I have a feeling that those have responded to this so far perhaps are taking this statement the wrong way (please correct me if I'm wrong, Roger). My interpretation of Roger's statment is that having 90% of HAM repeaters closed to all HAMS is not necessarily in the best interests of HAM radio in general, and that something needs to be done about the attitudes or circumstances that have led these individuals or organizations to keep thier repeaters closed. I never got the impression that legal action was being considered or promoted.

My \$.02 worth...

-Steve-

N9??? (passed test 3/20 and holding...)

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 12:45:13 GMT
From: world!mv!mv.mv.com!tetrault@uunet.uu.net
Subject: HAM RADIO RUDENESS
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

To: ddepew@CHM.TEC.SC.US

In a recent message, you wrote;

>>>

>>By the way, I started this thread and I'm glad to see so many of you
>>have encountered the same kinds of hassles I have. Let's hang in
there and
>>quit putting up with this kind of junk!

Ha, have I got one for you. When I finally passed my general, I came home and went to 20m. Now as a tech+ I had never even listened to 20m, so this was my first experience with that band.

I listened for a dead piece of band, asked if the frequency was being used *3* times with no response.

I then called CQ and unkeyed to hear,

"Are you stupid or just deaf? This frequency is being used. So shut up and leave"!

I then removed myself from 20m and now only go there fro a little slowscan.

Nice way to treat a newbie huh?

Mark

* UniQWK v3.3 * The Windows Mail Reader

--

```
-----  
| Mark D. Tetrault      | tetrault@mv.mv.com      |  
| 6 Colonial Drive     | 1:132/169@fidonet.org  |  
| Pembroke, NH 03275   | n1men.ampr.org_44.52.7.8 |  
| (603) 485-5852       | Have a Nice Day!       |  
-----
```

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 13:12:57 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!news.ecn.bgu.edu!siemens!
dep@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Need help with QSL address

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi All

Can someone help me to find the address for this call "KI7VQ".
I have not been able to find him in any of the online (internet)
call books. I think this ham is in Washington State. and I
need it for my WAS.

Please send the information to me directly.

Thanks for your help

Dave Post

WA2QIK

dep@scr.siemens.com

Date: 23 May 94 08:25:33 EST
From: ccsua.ctstateu.edu!white@yale.arp
Subject: Need info on operating in Canada
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm traveling to the Canadian Maritimes this summer (August) and want to operate from Nova Scotia, PEI, Newfoundland, and Labrador. Do I need to apply to the Canadian equivalent of the FCC or can I simply operate as VO1/N1QVE? Operations will be on HF as well as VHF. Is there a Canadian equivalent of the ARRL's Repeater directory?
Thanks & 73 de N1QVE
Harry

Date: 23 May 1994 12:45:58 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!EU.net!dkuug!eunet.no!nuug!nac.no!nntp-oslo.uninett.no!mac_inge!tomrune@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Need info on operatin in Thailand
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi there!

Thanks for reading this message.
I am planning a trip to Thailand, and I wonder how it is to get a licence to operate there.
I will also consider visiting other Far Eastern countries, such as Japan, Hong Kong etc.
Any info on obtaining licence in these countries will also be very much appreciated.

vy 73s de Tom/LA1BJA/JW1BJA/...

tomrune@mac_inge.itek.norut.no

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 07:47:14 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: repeater slang/lingo.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May22.144342.25948@cs.brown.edu> md@pstc3.pstc.brown.edu (Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>

>gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) writes:

>
>> So, if you're in a
>> *large* roundtable, and 10 minutes has passed since your last ID, you might
>> insert your callsign "for ID" at a pause. That tells everyone that you're
>> not asking to break rotation, just stay legal.
>
>Unfortunately, most of the time I hear "for ID" is when two people are
>chatting with one another. Clearly in this case its redundant, since why
>else would you be giving your callsign if you're in the middle of a
>conversation with someone?

Yeah, that's redundant, just as saying "Guess it's about time to ID, this
is Kxxxx" is more words than absolutely necessary. However, once you're in
the habit of saying "for ID" in a repeater setting, it tends to be used all
the time. No harm done as far as I can tell whether you do it one way or the
other.

Gary

--
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | |

Date: 23 May 94 15:06:10 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Speaker-Mike Connector
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hello,

At the Rochester, NY Hamfest last weekend I bought a speaker-mike
for my ht. It has a specialized connector to fit the HT it was
designed for. In order to use it with my Alinco DJ-F1T, I must
replace the connector with what matches the ones on my Alinco ht.
Does anyone know where I can buy one? or do I make it myself?

--

Thomas J. Jennings | Tel: (716) 273 7071
Development Engineer | Fax: (716) 273 7262
|
ABB Process Automation |
Post Office Box 22685 |

Rochester, New York 14692-2685 |
|

Internet: jennings@jennings.rochny.uspra.abb.com

Date: 23 May 94 12:50:13 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: W21AT mods
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

How do you open up extended receive on the Icom W21AT HT? Also, does this give you access to aircraft bands?

TNX es 73 de KB2PWM

Date: 23 May 94 16:13:35 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!news.ucdavis.edu!
modem109.ucdavis.edu!ddtodd@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <cf.889.437.0N2EEB84@ledge.com>, <2rngno\$qv5@usenet.ins.cwru.edu>,
<2ro8ib\$self@herald.indirect.com>ucdav
Subject : Re: FCC licensing delays

In article <2ro8ib\$self@herald.indirect.com> nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow) writes:

They asked the FCC for a special callsign for the
>person to operate due to their short life expectancy. I think there was
>not an affirmative response and the person died a few days before the
>license arrived in the mail. This is a terrible example of the amount of
>time required

If all they wantd was their callsign immediately they should have become an astronaut. They don't wait for their calls like the rest of us. aside from that I can't believe their local legislator wasn't willing to step in. I assume you meant an immediate license and not just a special call.

Dan

=====
Dan Todd ddtodd@ucdavis.edu kc6uud@ke6lw.#nocal.ca.us.na
Charter Member: Dummies for UNIX

When radios are outlawed, only outlaws will have radios

- David R. Tucker on rec.radio.amateur.policy

=====

Date: 23 May 1994 15:58:44 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!news.tamu.edu!
furuta@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994May22.123611.1725@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>,
<1994May22.144342.25948@cs.brown.edu>, <1994May23.074714.5769@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>,,
Subject : Re: repeater slang/lingo.

I have at times heard the "for ID" suffix used on the air as a gentle
reminder to the other party that they should ID. It usually seems to
work, too.

--Rick
KE3IV

Date: Mon, 23 May 1994 12:43:29 GMT
From: brunix!pstc3!md@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <rogjdCq2vF6.G7B@netcom.com>, <1994May20.132740.27068@cs.brown.edu>,
<rogjdCq8B2x.12q@netcom.com>v-lyon1
Subject : Re: Ham Radio few problems!

In article <rogjdCq8B2x.12q@netcom.com>,
rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington) writes:

|> Here in Southern Cal, amateur radio is in great shape. We have very few
|> lids on 2 meters, and we don't have a lot of cb-type operating.

I must have the section of California wrong. I'm constantly hearing
accounts from people who indicate that listening to some of the
conversations on CA 2 meter repeaters reveals language which is actually
worse then what you hear on the 27mhz band.

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- RI Center For Political Incorrectness & Environment Ignorance
-- 'Have you hugged your chainsaw today?'

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #561
